No. 9 (1) 81-8Lab 7260.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court. Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of Mis Allied Matal Product Gandhi Marg Jagadhri.

FIN THE COURT OF SHRUHARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT. HARYANA FARIDABAD

Reference No. 369 of 1980

between

SURT TANGLI PARSHAD WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S ALLIED METAL PRODUCT, GANDHI MARG, JAGADHRI.

Present: -

Shri Suinder Kumer Sharma, for the Workman. Shri Subhash Chander, for the Management,

AWARD

This reference No 359 of 1980 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of 41-yana, -v'/ his order No ID/YMN'!43-80'40!65 dated 5th August, 1980 under section 10 (i) (c) of the Industrial disputes Act. 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Jangli Parshad workman and the management of Ms Allied Metal product. Gandhi Marge, Jagadhri. The term of the reference was:

> Whether the termination of services of Shri Jangli Parshad was justified and in order? If not to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the o de of reference, notices were sent to the parties. On 21st April 1981 the last date of hearing the representative of the management made a statement in this court that the workman Shri Jangli Pa'sh d had settled his dispute with the respondent management and also filed a photo copy of settlement which is Exhibit M--1 According to the settlement the workman has also received a sum of Rs. 1430 (Rs. One thousand four hund ed & thirty only) in full and final settlement of all his dues claim and dispute including the right of e-instatement or re employment. This statement was duly admitted by the representative of the workman. He stated that he was no more interested In the dispute and did not want to pu sue this reference now. He also stated that he had withdraw this reference.

In view of the statement of both the parties. I hold that there is now no dispute left between the parties for adjudcation. I give my award in terms of settlement, settlement is just and faix. No order as to costs.

Dated: 18th June, 1981

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK Presiding Officer. Labour Court, Haryana Faridabad.

Findst, No. 1312 dated 18th July, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana, Labour and Employment Department, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above soid award may please be acknowledge within weeks time.

HARL SINGH KAUSHIK presiding Officer. Labour Court Haryana Farida bad

No. 9/1)81-8 Lab/7262.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following awa d of the Presiding Officer. Labour Cou't Faridabad in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M.'s Deepak Mistan Bhandar, Main Market Bazar, Old Faridabad

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER LABOUR COURT, HARYANA FARIDABAD

Reference No. 488 of 1980

Between

SHRI RAM DHIRAJ YADEV WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S LEEPAK M'STAN BHANDAR MAIN MARKET BAZAR, OLD FARIDABAD

Present :-None fo the parties

AWARD

This reference No. 488 of 1980 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor Haryana,—vide his order No. ID-FD/190-80/53171 dated 14th Cctober, 1980 under section 1C(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Ram Chiraj Yadev workman and the management of M/s Deepak Mistan Bhandar, Main Market Bizar, Cld Faridabad. The terms of the reference was 1—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Ram Dhiraj Yadev was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were sent to the parties. On 27th April 1981 Shri R. L. Sharma authorised representative and workman hisself were appeared. But to day i.e. 1st May, 1981 the last date of hearing none were present from the side of either party. The workman has also given three-four adjournment on his request for Dasti summons. But he failed to do so. On the other hand the peon of this court has reported on the notice of service sent to the espondent management that there is no shop in present address. It was already 1.20 P.M. The case was called thrice. In these circumstances, it is felt that the workman is not interested in persuing his reference. I, therefore, give my award that there is no dispute between the parties at present, as the parties defaulted in appearance. No order as to costs.

Dated the 18th June, 1981

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK.

Presiding Officer.
Labout Court. Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endst. No. 1360. dated 18th June, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and secretary to Government Haryana. I abour and Employment Departments. Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Lisputes Act. 1947, with the request that the receipt of the above said award may please be acknowledge within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer. Labour Court, Hayyana, Faridabad.

The 7th July, 1981

No. 9 (1)81-8 Lab/7464.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Covernor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer. Industrial Tribunal. Faridabad in respect, of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Clutch Auto Private Ltd., Sector-6, Faridabad.

BEF ORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ, PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 125 of 1981

Between

SHRI J. REGUVARAN WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M.'S CLUTCH AUTO PRIVATE LTD., SECTOR 6 FARIDABAD

Present :-

None, for the workman.

Shri K. P. Agrawal, for the management.

AWARD

By order No. ID/FD/35/81/22211, dated 29th April, 1981, the Governor of Haryana referred the following dispute between the management of M/s Clutch Auto Private Ltd., Sector 6, Faridabad, and its workman Shri J. Reguvaran, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri J. Reguvaran was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The workman appeared and stated that his demand notice be treated as claim statement but the management was not present despite service. And the case was fixed for ex purte evidence of the workman. The management moved an application for setting aside ex parte order which was objected by the representative for the workman. Ex parte orders were set aside subject to payment of costs Rs 40 only and the costs was paid. Then the case was fixed for filing written statement by the management. On the date fixed the workman was not present and the representative for the management filed a settlement Ex. M-1. According to the settlement, the workman had received a sum of Rs 5544-60 from the management in full and final settlement and there was no dispute left for reinstatement or re-employment or any other dues if any. The workman has also sent an application in which it is stated that he has settled the dispute with the management mutually which is Ex. M-2.

In view of the above I give my award that the workman has received a sum of Rs 5544.60 from the management in and final settlement of his claims and there is no dispute now pending for adjudication between the parties.

Dated 26th June, 1981.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer.
Industrial Tribunal Haryana.
Faridabad.

No. 618, dated the 27th June, 1981

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal Haryana,
Faridabad.

No. 9 (1) 81-8Lab/7686.—In pursuance of the provision of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Iaridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s. Northern Minerals Pvt. Limited, Gurgaon.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD.

Reference No. 146 of 1981

between

SHRI KASI RAM WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. NORTHERN MINERALS PVT. LIMITED, GURGAON.

Present.-

Shri Shardha Nand for the workman.

Shri S. K. Goswami for the management.

AWARD

This reference No. 146 of 1981 has been referred to this court by the Hon'ble Governor of Haryana.— vide his order No. ID/GGN/28-81/18448, dated 2nd April, 1981, under section 10(i)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 for the adjudication of the dispute existing betweent Shri Kasi Ram. workman and the management of M/s. Northern Minerals Pvt. Limited, Gurgaon. The terms of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Kasi Ram was justifed and in order? If not, so what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were sent to the parties who put in their appearance in this court through their authorised representatives. On 24th June, 1981, the last date of fearing, the representative of the workman Shri Sardha Nand made a statement in this court that the workman had settled his dispute with the respondent management and the management had already been taken for duty. He further stated that he was no more interested in the dispute and did not want to pursue this reference. He also stated that he had withdrawn this reference.

In view of the above statement of the representative of the workman, I hold that there is now no dispute left between the parties for adjudication. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Dated the 24th June, 1969.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK.

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1823, dated 30th June 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government Haryana. Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the abovesaid award may please be acknowledge within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

No. 9(1) 81-8Lab./7687.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Northern Minerals Pvt. Limited Gurgaon.

IN THE COURT OF SHRI HARI SINGH KAUSHIK, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR CCURT, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No. 142 of 1981

between

SHRI SUDERSHAN WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S NORTHERN MINERALS PVT. LIMITED., GURGAON

Shri Sardha Nand for the workman.

Shri S. K. Goswami for the Management.

AWARD

This reference No. 142 of 1981 has been referred to this Court by the Hon'ble Governor of H aryana,—vide his order No. 10/GGN/25-81/18471, dated Inc April. 1981, under section 'C(i)(c) of the

Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 for adjudication of the dispute existing between Shri Sudershan, workman, and the management of M's. Northern Minerals Pyt. Limited, Gurgaon. The term of the reference was:—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Sudershan was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference notices were sent to the parties who put in their appearance in this Court through their authorised representatives. On 24th June, 1981, the last date of hearing, the representative of the workman Shri Shardha Nand made a statement in this court that the work may had settled his dispute with the respondent management and the management had already been taken for duty. He further stated that he was no more interested in the dispute and did not want to pursue this reference. He also stated that he had withdrawn this reference.

In view of the above statement of the representative of the workman, I hold that there is now no dispute left between the parties for adjudication. I give my award accordingly. No order as to costs.

Dated the 24th June, 1981.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK.

Presiding Officer.
Labour Court, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 1322, dated the 30th June, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Haryana. Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, with the request that the receipt of the abovesaid award may please be acknowledged within week's time.

HARI SINGH KAUSHIK,

Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Faridabad.

The 17th July, 1981

No. 9(1) 81-8Lab/7825. In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Faridabad, in respect of the dispute between the workman and management of M/s. Bhai Sunder Dass and Sons Co. (P) Ltd., Mathura Road, Faridabad.

BEFORE SHRI M. C. BHARDWAJ PRESIDING OFFICER, INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, HARYANA, FARIDABAD

Reference No 83 of 1981

between

SHRI TILAK RAJ. WORKMAN, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S. BHAI SUNDER DASS AND SONS CO. (P) LTD., MATHURA ROAD, BALABGARH.

Present --

Workman in person.

Shri & C. Sharma for the management.

AWARD

By order No. 10/FD/23-81/13528, dated the 19th March. 1931, the Governor of Haryana referred the following the pute between the management of M/s Bhai Sun ler Dass and Sons Co. (P) Ltd.,

Mathura Road, Ballabgarh, and its workman Shri Tilak Raj, to this Tribunal, for adjudication, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:

Whether the termination of services of Shri Tilak Raj was justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

On receipt of the order of reference, notices were issued to the parties. The parties appeared and filed their pleadings and issues were framed on 5th June, 1931 and the case was fixed for the evidence of the management. But on that date a settlement was arrived at between the parties. According to the settlement the management agreed to pay an amount equal to 1½ months salary and bonus, if any, due in full and final settlement of all his claims including his right of reinstatement and re-employment. The workman also agreed to it.

In view of the statement given by the parties, I give my award that the workman shall be entitled to !1 month's wages and bonus, if due, in full and final settlement forgoing his right of reinstatement and re-employment. I order accordingly.

Dated the 29th June, 1981.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

Endorsement No. 626 Dated the 30th June, 1981.

Forwarded (four copies) to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Canadigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

M. C. BHARDWAJ,

Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal, Haryana,
Faridabad.

H. L. GUGNANI. Comm. and Secy.

LABOUR AND EMPLOYMENT DEPARTMENTS .

The 10th July, 1981

No. 10 (47)-79-5 Lab.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 48 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, and all other powers enabling him in this behalf, the Governor of Haryana hereby withdraws from the acquisition, the land, with respect to which Haryana Government, Labour and Employment Department, Notification No. 10 (47)-79-5 Lab, deted the 18th December, 1979, was issued under section 4 of the said Act, and declaration under section 6 thereof was made with Haryana Government, Labour and Employment Department, Notification No. 10 (47)-79-5 Lab., dated the 22nd May, 1980.

H. L. GUGNANI, Comm. and Secy.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT BUILDINGS AND ROADS BRANCH Circle Ambala

The 14th July, 1981

No. SE/PWD/B&R/Ambala/666.—Whereas the Governor of Haryana is pleased that land specified below is needed by the Government, at public expense, for a public purpose, namely, constructing a link road from G. T. road to Dudiana, tehsil Ambala, district Ambala, it is hereby declared that the land described in the specification below is required for the aforesaid purpose.

This declaration is made under the provisions of section 6 of Land Acquisition Act, 1894, to all whom it may concern under the provisions of section 7 of the said Act, the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt. is hereby directed to take orders for the acquisition of the said land.

Plans of the land may be inspected in the offices of the Land Acquisition Collector, Haryana, P.W.D., B. & R. Branch, Ambala Cantt., and the Executive Engineer, Provincial Division, No. I, Haryana P.W.D., B.&R. Branch, Ambala Cantt.

SPECIFICATION Khasra No. Hadbast Area in Tehsil Locality/ District Village acres No. 6 3.20 37 Ambala Devi Nagar Ambila 16, 3, 4, 5, 7/1, 7/2, 8, 13, 14/1, 17, 18/1, 18/2, 23, 24/1, 24/2, 19 14 3, 4/1, 4/2, 5/1, 6, 7/1,, 7/2, 8, 13/1, 13/2, 14/1, 14/2, 15/2, 17/1, 17/2, 17/3, 18/1, 18/2, 18/3, 23, 24/1, 24/2, 24/3 16 3, 4/1, 4/2, 7/1, 7/2, 8, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 14/3, 17/1, 17/2, 18/1, 18/2, 23, 24/1, 24/2, 25 21 3/1, 3/2, 4/1, 4/2, 7/1, 7/2, 7/3, 8/1, 8/2, 13, 14/1, 14/2, 5, 138, 137, 107, 140, 141, 144, 151, 512 17 36 4.34 Dudiana Ambala Ambala 21/1, 21/2, 21/3, 22/1, 22/2, 23, 24, 25 18 25/1, 25/2, 22, 23, 24, 25 4, 3/3, 3/2, 3/1, 1, 2, 3, 4/1, 4/2, 5, 6, 15, 16/1, 16/2, 25 1/1, 1/2, 10, 11, 20/1, 20/2, 32 10, 33 1/1, 1/2, 20, 11, 5/1, 5/2, 6, 15, 16, 25 16/2, 24, 25, 5, 6, 15, 16/1, 1, 10/1, 10/2, 10/3, 10/4, 11, 20, 21, 45 5 53, 56, 57, 65, 64, 66, 67, 68 7.54 Total